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## USING LINEAR ALGEBRA TO COMPUTE GRÖBNER BASES

- Algorithms like Faugère's F4 compute Gröbner bases via isolating the tasks of searching for reducers and performing the reduction.
- Taking a subset of S-pairs a symbolic preprocessing is performed.
- Out of this data a matrix $M$ is generated: Its rows correspond to polynomials, its columns represent all appearing monomials in the given order.
- Performing Gaussian Elimination on M corresponds to reducing the chosen subset of S-pairs at once.
- New data for the Gröbner basis can then be read off the reduced matrix: Restore corresponding rows as polynomials.
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Specialize Linear Algebra for reduction steps in GB computations.

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { S-pair } & \left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { S-pair } & \left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}
0 & 1 & 6 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0
\end{array}\right. \\
\text { reducer } & \leftarrow \begin{array}{llllll} 
& 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 3
\end{array}
\end{aligned}
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Specialize Linear Algebra for reduction steps in GB computations.
S-pair $\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}1 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 5\end{array}\right.$
s-pair $\left\{\begin{array}{lllllll}0 & 1 & 6 & 0 & 8 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0\end{array}\right.$

reducer $\leftarrow$| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Try to exploit underlying GB structure.

Main idea
Do a static reordering before the Gaussian Elimination to achieve a better initial shape. Invert the reordering afterwards.

## FAUGĖRE-LACHARTRE IDEA

1st step: Sort pivot and non-pivot columns
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1st step: Sort pivot and non-pivot columns

| 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 |$l l$| 1 | 3 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| 0 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |

## FAUGĖRE-LACHARTRE IDEA

## 2nd step: Sort pivot and non-pivot rows

$$
\begin{array}{lll:llll}
1 & 3 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\
0 & 1 & 8 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 9 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1
\end{array}
$$
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## FAUGĖRE-LACHARTRE IDEA

3rd step: Reduce lower left part to zero
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\begin{array}{lll:llll}
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3rd step: Reduce lower left part to zero

$$
\begin{array}{lll:llll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\
\hdashline 1 & 3 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 8 & 6 & 0 & 0 & 9
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{llll:lll}
1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 & 5 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 \\
\hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 10 & 3 & 10 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 2 & 1
\end{array}
$$

## FAUGĖRE-LACHARTRE IDEA

4th step: Reduce lower right part

$$
\begin{array}{ccc:ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 \\
0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hdashline 0 & 0 & 0 & 7 & 10 & 3 \\
\hline 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 0 & 2 \\
\hdashline
\end{array}
$$

## FAUGÈRE-LACHARTRE IDEA

4th step: Reduce lower right part

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
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| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 |

## FAUGÈRE-LACHARTRE IDEA

4th step: Reduce lower right part

| 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 10 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 1 |$\quad$| 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 5 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 |
| 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 6 | 3 |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 5 |

5th step: Remap columns and get new polynomials for GB out of lower right part.
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## WHAT OUR MATRICES LOOK LIKE

Some data about the matrix:

- F4 computation of homogeneous KATSURA-12, degree 6 matrix
- Size 55MB
- 24, 006, 869 nonzero elements (density: 5\%)
- Dimensions:

| full matrix: | $21,182 \times 22,207$ |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| upper-left: | 17,915 | $\times$ | 17,915 | known pivots |
| lower-left: | 3,267 | $\times$ | 17,915 |  |
| upper-right: | 17,915 | $\times$ | 4,292 |  |
| lower-right: | 3,267 | $\times$ | 4,292 | new information |
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## REDUCE C TO ZERO



## GAUSSIAN ELIMINATION ON D



## NEW INFORMATION
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## LIBRARY OVERVIEW

- Open source library written in plain C.
- Specialized linear algebra for GB computations.
- Parallel implementation (OpenMP), scaling "nicely" up to 32 cores.
- Works over finite fields for 16-bit primes (at the moment).
- Several strategies for splicing and reduction.
- Includes converter from and to our dedicated matrix format.
- Access to huge matrix database: > 500 matrices, $>280 \mathrm{~GB}$ of data.
http://hpac.imag.fr/gbla
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## EXPLOITING BLOCK STRUCTURES IN MATRICES

Matrices from GB computations have nonzero entries often grouped in blocks.

Horizontal Pattern If $m_{i, j} \neq 0$ then often $m_{i, j+1} \neq 0$.
Vertical Pattern If $m_{i, j} \neq 0$ then often $m_{i+1, j} \neq 0$.

- Can be used to optimize AXPY and TRSM operations in FL reduction.
- Horizontal pattern taken care of canonically.
- Need to take care of vertical pattern.


## MULTILINE TRSM STEP



Exploiting horizontal and vertical patterns in the TRSM step.

## MULTILINE DATA STRUCTURE - AN EXAMPLE

Consider the following two rows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r} 1 & =\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathrm{r} 2 & =\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

## MULTILINE DATA STRUCTURE - AN EXAMPLE

Consider the following two rows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r} 1 & =\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
\mathrm{r} & 3 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

A sparse vector representation of the two rows would be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { r1.val } & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 5 & ], \\
\text { r1.pos } & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll} 
& 1 & 3 & 4 & 6
\end{array}\right], \\
\text { r2.val } & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 7 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right], \\
\text { r2.pos } & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll} 
& 0 & 1 & 4 & 5 &
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Consider the following two rows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r} 1 & =\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
\mathrm{r} 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

A sparse vector representation of the two rows would be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { r1.val } & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 5 & ], \\
\text { r1.pos } & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
0 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6
\end{array}\right], \\
\text { r2.val } & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll}
1 & 7 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right], \\
\text { r2.pos } & =\left[\begin{array}{llllll} 
& 0 & 1 & 4 & 5 & 6
\end{array}\right] .
\end{array}, \begin{array}{ll}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A multiline vector representation of r 1 and r 2 is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ml} . \mathrm{val} & =\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
2 & 1 & 3 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 2
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathrm{ml} . \operatorname{pos} & =\left[\begin{array}{lllllll} 
& 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & ] . \\
& & & & & &
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
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## MULTILINE DATA STRUCTURE - AN EXAMPLE

Consider the following two rows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{r} 1 & =\left[\begin{array}{llllllll}
\mathrm{r} 2 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 4 & 0 & 5
\end{array}\right],\left[\begin{array}{lllllll}
1 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 3 & 1 & 2
\end{array}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

A sparse vector representation of the two rows would be given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { r1.val }=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
2 & 3 & 1 & 4 & 5
\end{array}\right], \\
& \text { r1.pos }=\left[\begin{array}{lllll}
0 & 1 & 3 & 4 & 6
\end{array}\right] \text {, }
\end{aligned}
$$

A multiline vector representation of r 1 and r 2 is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{ml} . \mathrm{val} & =\left[\begin{array}{lllllllllllll}
2 & 1 & 3 & 7 & 1 & 0 & 4 & 3 & 0 & 1 & 5 & 2
\end{array}\right], \\
\mathrm{ml} . \operatorname{pos} & =\left[\begin{array}{lllllll} 
& 1 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & ] . \\
& & & & & &
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$
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## NEW ORDER OF OPERATIONS

- Number of initially known pivots (i.e. \# rows of $A$ and $B$ ) is large compared to \# rows of $C$ and $D$.
- Most time of FL reduction is spent in TRSM step $A^{-1} B$.
- Only interested in D resp. rank of $M$ ?

Change order of operations.

1. Reduce C directly with $A$ (store corresponding data in $C$ ).
2. Carry out corresponding operations from $B$ to $D$ using updated $C$.
3. Reduce D.
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## GBLA MATRIX FORMATS

- Matrices are pretty sparse, but structured.
- GBLA supports two matrix formats, both use binary format.
- GBLA includes a converter between the two supported formats and can also dump to Magma matrix format.

Table 1: Old matrix format (legacy version)

| Size | Length | Data | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| uint32_t | 1 | b | version number |
| uint32_t | 1 | m | \# rows |
| uint32_t | 1 | n | \# columns |
| uint32_t | 1 | p | prime / field characteristic |
| uint64_t | 1 | nnz | \# nonzero entries |
| uint16_t | nnz | data | entry in matrix |
| uint32_t | nnz | cols | column index of entry |
| uint32_t | m | rows | length of rows |

## GBLA MATRIX FORMATS

Table 2: New matrix format (compressing data and cols)

| Size | Length | Data | Description |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| uint32_t <br> uint32_t <br> uint32_t <br> uint32_t <br> uint64_t <br> uint16_t <br> uint32_t <br> uint32_t | 1 1 1 1 1 $n n z$ $n n z$ $m$ | b <br> m <br> n <br> p <br> nnz <br> data <br> cols <br> rows | ```version number + information for data type of pdata # rows # columns prime / field characteristic # nonzero entries several rows are of type }\mp@subsup{x}{i}{}\mp@subsup{f}{j}{ can be compressed for consecutive elements length of rows``` |
| uint32_t <br> uint64_t <br> uint64_t <br> uint32_t <br> uint64_t <br> uint32_t <br> xinty_t | m <br> 1 <br> k <br> 1 <br> 1 <br> pnb <br> pnnz | pmap k colid <br> pnb pnnz prow pdata | ```maps rows to pdata size of compressed colid compression of columns: Single column entry masked via (1<< 31); s consecutive entries starting at column c are stored as "c s" # polynomials # nonzero coefficients in polynomials length of polynomial / row representation coefficients of polynomials``` |

## GBLA MATRIX FORMATS - COMPARISON

Table 3: Storage and time efficiency of the new format

| Matrix | Size old | Size new | gzipped old | gzipped new | Time old | Time new |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4-kat14-mat9 | 2.3 GB | 0.74 GB | 1.2 GB | 0.29 GB | 230 s | 66 s |
| F5-kat17-mat10 | 43 GB | 12 GB | 24 GB | 5.3 GB | 4419 s | 883 s |

## GBLA MATRIX FORMATS - COMPARISON

Table 3: Storage and time efficiency of the new format

| Matrix | Size old | Size new | gzipped old | gzipped new | Time old | Time new |
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## GBLA MATRIX FORMATS - COMPARISON

Table 3: Storage and time efficiency of the new format

| Matrix | Size old | Size new | gzipped old | gzipped new | Time old | Time new |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| F4-kat14-mat9 | 2.3 GB | 0.74 GB | 1.2 GB | 0.29 GB | 230 s | 66 s |
| F5-kat17-mat10 | 43 GB | 12 GB | 24 GB | 5.3 GB | 4419 s | 883 s |

New format vs. Old format

- $1 / 3$ rd of memory usage.
- $1 / 4$ th of memory usage when compressed with gzip.
- Compression 4 - 5 times faster.


## SOME BENCHMARKS

## GBLA VS. FAUGÈRE-LACHARTRE

All timings in seconds.

| Implementation | FL Implementation |  | GBLA v0.1 |  | GBLA v0.2 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix/Threads: | 1 | 16 | 32 | 1 | 16 | 32 | 1 | 16 | 32 |
| F5-kat13-mat5 | 16.7 | 2.7 | 2.3 | 14.5 | 2.02 | 1.87 | 14.5 | 1.73 | 1.61 |
| F5-kat13-mat6 | 27.3 | 4.15 | 4.0 | 23.9 | 3.08 | 2.65 | 25.9 | 3.03 | 2.28 |
| F5-kat14-mat7 | 139 | 17.4 | 16.6 | 142 | 13.4 | 10.6 | 122 | 11.2 | 8.64 |
| F5-kat14-mat8 | 181 | 24.95 | 23.1 | 177 | 16.9 | 12.7 | 158 | 14.7 | 10.5 |
| F5-kat15-mat7 | 629 | 61.8 | 55.6 | 633 | 55.1 | 38.2 | 553 | 46.3 | 30.7 |
| F5-kat16-mat6 | 1,203 | 110 | 83.3 | 1,147 | 98.7 | 69.9 | 988 | 73.9 | 49.0 |
| F5-mr-9-10-7-mat3 | 591 | 70.8 | 71.3 | 733 | 57.3 | 37.9 | 747 | 52.8 | 33.2 |
| F5-cyclic-10-mat20 |  |  |  | 2,589 | 274 | 209 | 2,074 | 171 | 152 |
| F5-cyclic-10-sym-mat17 |  |  |  | 2,463 | 465 | 405 | 2,391 | 275 | 245 |

## GBLA VS. MAGMA V2.20-10

All timings in seconds.

| Implementation | Magma | GBLA v0.1 |  |  | GBLA v0.2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Matrix/Threads: | 1 | 1 | 16 | 32 | 1 | 16 | 32 |
| F4-kat12-mat9 | 11.2 | 11.4 | 1.46 | 1.60 | 11.3 | 1.40 | 1.40 |
| F4-kat13-mat2 | 0.94 | 1.18 | 0.38 | 0.61 | 1.11 | 0.26 | 0.33 |
| F4-kat13-mat3 | 9.33 | 11.0 | 1.70 | 3.10 | 8.51 | 1.07 | 1.13 |
| F4-kat13-mat9 | 168 | 165 | 16.0 | 11.8 | 114 | 9.74 | 6.83 |
| F4-kat14-mat8 | 2,747 | 2,545 | 207 | 165 | 1,338 | 104 | 65.8 |
| F4-kat15-mat7 | 10,345 | 9,514 | 742 | 537 | 4,198 | 298 | 195 |
| F4-kat15-mat8 | 13,936 | 12,547 | 961 | 604 | 6,508 | 470 | 283 |
| F4-kat15-mat9 | 24,393 | 22,247 | 1,709 | 1,256 | 10,923 | 779 | 450 |
| F4-rand16-d2-2-mat6 |  | 4,902 | 375 | 219 | 3,054 | 224 | 133 |
| F4-rand16-d2-3-mat8 |  | 48,430 | 3,473 | 2,119 | 26,533 | 1,782 | 1,027 |
| F4-rand16-d2-3-mat9 |  |  | 6,956 | 4,470 |  | 3,214 | 1,776 |
| F4-rand16-d2-3-mat10 |  |  | 9,691 | 6,223 |  | 3,820 | 1,972 |

Note that Magma generates slightly bigger matrices for the given examples.
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## DIFFERENT APPROACHES

- Optimizing GBLA for floating point and 32-bit unsigned int arithmetic.
- Connect GBLA to Singular to get a tentative F4.
- Creation of a new open source plain C library GBTOOLS.
- Deeper investigation on parallelization on networks.
- First steps exploiting heterogeneous CPU/GPU platforms for GBLA.
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## COMMENTS? QUESTIONS?


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Reconstruction fails due to memory consumption

